Montana Board of Oil and Gas Conservation
Environmental Assessment

Operator: Slawson Exploration Company, Inc.
Well Name/Number: Dart (Federal) 1-30H
Location: NW NW Section 30 T21N R59E
County: Richland**, MT; Field (or Wildcat)** Wildcat

Air Quality

(possible concerns)
Long drilling time: No, 25-35 days drilling time.
Unusually deep drilling (high horsepower rig): Triple derrick rig to drill a single lateral Horizontal Upper Bakken Shale well test, 14,233'MD/10,195'TVD.
Possible H2S gas production: Slight chance H2S.
In/near Class I air quality area: No Class I air quality area.
Air quality permit for flaring/venting (if productive): Yes, DEQ air quality permit required under 75-2-211.

Mitigation:
X Air quality permit (AQB review)
__ Gas plants/pipelines available for sour gas
__ Special equipment/procedures requirements
__ Other:_____

Comments: Single lateral, 14,233'MD/10,195'TVD, Middle Bakken Shale Formation horizontal well.

Water Quality

(possible concerns)
Salt/oil based mud: Yes intermediate string casing hole will be drilled with oil based invert drilling fluids. Brine fluids will be used to drill the horizontal leg. Surface casing hole to be drilled with freshwater and freshwater mud.
High water table: No high water table expected.
Surface drainage leads to live water: No, closest drainage is Sagebrush Creek, an ephemeral tributary drainage to the Yellowstone River, about ¼ of a mile to the west from this location.
Water well contamination: No, closest nearby wells are about 1/8 of a mile to the south, about ¾ of a mile to the northeast, about ¾ of a mile to the southwest and about 7/8 if a mile to the north from this location. Depth of these wells range from 50' to 500' in depth. Surface hole will be drilled with freshwater and surface casing will be cemented to surface from 1502'.
Porous/permeable soils: No, silty sand clay soils.
Class I stream drainage: No, Class I stream drainages.

Mitigation:
__ Lined reserve pit
X Adequate surface casing
__ Berms/dykes, re-routed drainage
X Closed mud system
__ Off-site disposal of solids/liquids (in approved facility)
X Other: Lined cuttings pit will be dug for cuttings burial on well site.

Comments: 1502' surface casing to be set to protect freshwater zones and to cover the Fox Hills aquifer. Adequate surface casing and operational BOP equipment should prevent any problems.

Soils/Vegetation/Land Use

(possible concerns)

Steam crossings: None anticipated.
High erosion potential: Yes, location will require moderate cut, up to 28.0' and moderate fill, up to 23.7', required.
Loss of soil productivity: None, location to be restored after drilling well, if nonproductive. If productive unused portion of drillsite will be reclaimed.
Unusually large wellsite: No, very large well site 450'X400'.
Damage to improvements: Slight, surface use is grassland.
Conflict with existing land use/values: Slight

Mitigation
__ Avoid improvements (topographic tolerance)
__ Exception location requested
X Stockpile topsoil
__ Stream Crossing Permit (other agency review)
X Reclaim unused part of wellsite if productive
__ Special construction methods to enhance reclamation
__ Other _____

Comments: Access will be over existing county road, #350. An access road will be built into location off the existing county road, 350, about 1,200' new road will be built into this location. Cuttings will be buried in the lined cuttings pit. Oil based invert drilling fluids will be recycled. Completion fluids will be hauled to a Class II disposal. Pit will be allowed to dry before being backfilled. No concerns.

Health Hazards/Noise

(possible concerns)
Proximity to public facilities/residences: Closest residence is about 7/8 of a mile to the northwest from this location.
Possibility of H2S: Slight chance H2S.
Size of rig/length of drilling time: Triple drilling rig 25 to 35 days drilling time.

Mitigation:
X Proper BOP equipment
__ Topographic sound barriers
__ H2S contingency and/or evacuation plan
__ Special equipment/procedures requirements
__ Other:_____

Comments: Adequate surface casing cemented to surface with working BOP stack should mitigate any problems. Distance sufficient to mitigate noise problems.

Wildlife/recreation

(possible concerns)
Proximity to sensitive wildlife areas (DFWP identified): None identified.
Proximity to recreation sites: None identified.
Creation of new access to wildlife habitat: No
Conflict with game range/refuge management: No
Threatened or endangered Species Threatened or endangered species listed in Richland county by USFW service are Pallid Sturgeon, Piping Plover, Interior Lease Tern and Whooping Crane. Candidate species are the Greater Sage Grouse and the Sprague's Pipit. NH tracker website lists the following as "Species of Concern": eight (8) are listed as follows: Hoary Bat, Meadow Jumping Mouse, Grasshopper Sparrow, Great Blue Heron, Veery, Whooping Crane, Loggerheaded Shrike and Spiny Softshell.

Mitigation:
__ Avoidance (topographic tolerance/exception)
__ Other agency review (DFWP, federal agencies, DSL)
__ Screening/fencing of pits, drillsite
__ Other: _____

Comments: Private surface grasslands. There maybe species of concern that maybe impacted by

this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to what he would like done, if a species of concern are discovered at this location.

Historical/Cultural/Paleontological

(possible concerns)

Proximity to known sites __None identified.__

Mitigation

__ avoidance (topographic tolerance, location exception)

__ other agency review (SHPO, DSL, federal agencies)

__ Other: _____

Comments: __Private surface grasslands. There maybe possible historical/cultural/paleontological sites that maybe impacted by this wellsite. We ask the operator to consult with the surface owner as to his desires to preserve these sites or not, if they are found during construction of the wellsite.

Social/Economic

(possible concerns)

__ Substantial effect on tax base

__ Create demand for new governmental services

__ Population increase or relocation

Comments: __No concerns.__

Remarks or Special Concerns for this site

__A single lateral Upper Bakken Shale horizontal well 14,233'MD/10,195'TVD.__

_____

Summary: Evaluation of Impacts and Cumulative effects

__No long term impacts expected. Some short term impacts will occur.__

_____

I conclude that the approval of the subject Notice of Intent to Drill (does/**does not**) constitute a major action of state government significantly affecting the quality of the human environment, and (does/**does not**) require the preparation of an environmental impact statement.

Prepared by (BOGC):___/s/Steven Sasaki_____

(title:) Chief Field Inspector_____

Date: October 16, 2011

Other Persons Contacted:

_____

_____

(Name and Agency)

Montana Bureau of Mines and Geology, Groundwater Information Center website._____

(subject discussed)

Water wells in Richland County

(date)

October 16, 2011

US Fish and Wildlife, Region 6 website

(Name and Agency)

ENDANGERED, THREATENED, PROPOSED AND CANDIDATE SPECIES MONTANA

COUNTIES, Richland County
(subject discussed)

October 16, 2011
(date)

Montana Natural Heritage Program Website (FWP)
(Name and Agency)
Heritage State Rank= S1, S2, S3, T21N R59E
(subject discussed)

October 16, 2011
(date)

If location was inspected before permit approval:
Inspection date: _____
Inspector: _____
Others present during inspection: _____